

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/625,979 07/24/2003		7/24/2003	Nagaraj Jayanth	0315-510CPA	1700	
27572	7590	02/02/2006		EXAMINER		
HARNESS	, DICKE	Y & PIERCE, P.L.O	TANNER, HARRY B			
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
bboom ibbb mbb, m 10000				3744		

DATE MAILED: 02/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	٠,
1	_ ^
ι.	N
v	r
n	//
"	U

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/625,979	JAYANTH ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Harry B. Tanner	3744					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 No.</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro						
Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 38-50 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 38-50 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Application Papers		·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3744

Applicant's election without traverse of invention of Group I in the reply filed on 11/21/05 is acknowledged.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 38-47 and 49-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sharood et al in view of Wiggs and Gromala et al. Sharood discloses a compressor assembly having a compressor connected to an electric motor and an electrical plug 2650 with electronic circuitry including current sensing means and voltage sensing means ( see col. 9, lines 13-28) integrated into the electrical plug for diagnosing problems with the system including determining how long the compressor has been on (see col. 27, line 42 to col. 28, line 64) and communicating to an intelligent device such as a computer 190 having a visual display. Wiggs teaches monitoring the status of compressor motor protectors in order to provide an indication as to which motor protector caused the compressor to stop and Gromala teaches calculating average compressor on and off times in order to determine ambient temperature conditions. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the system of Sharood such that it included monitoring the status of compressor motor protectors in order to provide an indication

Art Unit: 3744

as to which motor protector caused the compressor to stop in view of the teachings of Wiggs and included calculating average compressor on and off times in order to determine ambient temperature conditions in view of the teachings of Gromala.

Claim 48 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sharood et al in view of Wiggs and Gromala as applied to claim 38 above, and further in view of Katsuki. Katsuki teaches monitoring the demand signal of a compressor and determining when the compressor current is abnormal in response to the demand signal. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the system of Sharood such that it included monitoring the demand signal of a compressor and determining when the compressor current is abnormal in response to the demand signal in view of the teachings of Katsuki.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry B. Tanner whose telephone number is (571) 272-4813. The examiner can normally be reached 8:30 am to 5:00 pm Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler, can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

Application/Control Number: 10/625,979 Page 4

Art Unit: 3744

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Harry B. Tanner Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3744